

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,377 | 10/644,377 08/20/2003 | | Stefan Wieland | 13437US | 6136 |
| 23719 | 7590 | 04/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| KALOW | | | LANGEL, WAYNE A | | |
| 488 MADISON AVENUE 19TH FLOOR | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YOR | K, NY | 10022 | 1754 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/21/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ı | cr |
|---|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/644,377 | WIELAND ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Wayne Langel | 1754 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2006</u> . 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/644,377

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hwang et al '363, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicants' argument, that in the present application, the lower catalyst layer, which is in contact with the support, contains the partial oxidation catalyst and the upper catalyst layer which is exposed to the inlet steam, contains the steam reforming catalyst, is not convincing. Hwang et al '363 makes clear at col. 5, lines 45-61 that the lower catalyst layer may comprise platinum, and at col. 5, lines 24-37 that the upper catalyst layer may comprise rhodium. The lower catalyst layer of platinum would preferentially catalyze partial oxidization, and the upper catalyst layer of rhodium would preferentially catalyze steam reforming in the catalyst of Hwang et al '363, since applicants' specification discloses on page 6, lines 11-23 that a catalyst layer containing from 0.1 to 5% by weight of platinum will preferentially catalyze partial oxidation, and further discloses on page 6, lines 18-23 that a catalyst containing from 0.1 to 5% by weight of rhodium will preferentially catalyze steam reforming.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,377

Art Unit: 1754

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.74 in failing to include a "Brief Description of the Drawings".

Claims 2-8 are objected to as based on a rejected parent claim, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

In claim 1, line 10, it is suggested that the word -- layer -- be inserted after "catalyst", since there is no clear antecedent basis for the term "upper catalyst".

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/644,377 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754